

1702547/2021/CRU-O/o Pr CC-CGST-Zone-Mumbai

 <p>सत्यमेव जयते</p>	<p>प्रधान मुख्य आयुक्तालय, जीएसटी एव केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क : मुंबई जोन OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF GST AND CX: MUMBAI ZONE ११५, जीएसटी भवन, एम.के. रोड, चर्चगेट, मुंबई-२० 115, GST BHAWAN M.K.ROAD, CHURCHGATE, MUMBAI-20. E-mail:dcccunit@rediffmail.com & ccu-cexmum1@nic.in Fax No.022-22014170</p>	
---	---	---

फा. सं. जीसीसीओ/आरटीआई/APP/773/2021-एडीएमएन-ओ/ओ पीआरसीसी-सीजीएसटी-जोने-मुंबई जोन / 2341 से 2351
मुंबई, दिनांक: 11 अगस्त 2021

प्रति,

केंद्रीय जन सूचना अधिकारी

प्रधान आयुक्त वस्तु एवं सेवाकर,

मुंबई (सेंट्रल), मुंबई (साउथ), मुंबई (वेस्ट), मुंबई (ईस्ट), ठाणे, ठाणे (रुरल), पालगढ़,

नवी मुंबई, राइगाड़, बेलापुर, भिवंडी।


महोदय,

विषय: श्री Ramniranjal Ramgopal Sharma द्वारा फ़ाइल किया गया आरटीआई आवेदन दिनांक 30.09.2021 (Received in this office on 08/10/2021) के संदर्भ में।

कृपया यहाँ संलग्नित Ramniranjan Ramgopal Sharma द्वारा फ़ाइल किया गया आरटीआई आवेदन दिनांक 30.09.2021 (Received in this office on 08.10.2021) का संदर्भ ले।

आवेदक द्वारा मांगी गई जानकारी इस कार्यालय में उपलब्ध नहीं है तथा आपके पास उपलब्ध होने की संभावना है। अतएव, इस कार्यालय को सूचित करते हुए आवश्यक कार्यवाही एव आवेदक को सीधे जानकारी देने हेतु, उपरोक्त आरटीआई आवेदन सूचना के अधिकार अधिनियम के धारा 6(3) के तहत आपके कार्यालय को हस्तांतरित किया जा रहा है।

आपका आभारी


(ए मोहनन)

सहायक आयुक्त (सी पी ई ओ)

सीसीओ, वस्तु एवं सेवाकर, मुंबई क्षेत्र

ईमेल आईडी: ccu-cexmum1@nic.in


संलग्न: उपरोक्त अनुसार

1702547/2021/CRU-O/o Pr CC-CGST-Zone-Mumbai

प्रति प्रेषित:-1. Shri Ramniranjan Ramgopal Sharma,48,Jal darshan , 4th floor, flat no 48, wing no five, 51, Nepeansea Road, Mumbai 400 036.

2) अधीक्षक ,कम्प्युटर सेक्शन, वस्तु एवं सेवाकर, मुंबई सेंट्रल , डीओपीटी, ओएम नंबर 1/6/2011-आईआर दिनांक 15/04/2013 के अनुसार आधिकारिक वेबसाइट पर अपलोड करने के ल

3) CAO, CGST and C Ex Mumbai Central Enclosing postal order of Rs 10/-



RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

ANNEXURE-A
[SEE RULE 6 [1]]
Format of Application form under
The Right to Information Rules, 2005

DATE: 30th SEP, 2021

Ms. Anjali Dnyal
[Signature]
08/10/21

TO:

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER
OFFICE OF THE GST COMMISSIONER
GST BHAVAN GOVERNMENT OFFICE NEW MARINE LINES,
CHURCHGATE, MUMBAI, MAHARASHTRA – 400020

1.Full name of the Applicant	RAMNIRANJAN RAMGOPAL SHARMA 48, JALDARSHAN, 4 TH FLOOR, FLAT NO.48, WING NO.FIVE 51, NEPEANSEA ROAD, MUMBAI.400 036
2.Address	AS ABOVE I.D. ENCLOSED
3.Particulars of Information required	<p>PLEASE ANSWER / PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR MY RTI, POINT WISE WITH STATING MY POINTS AND YOUR ANSWER / INFORMATION BELOW IT, FOR CONVENIENCE PURPOSE:</p> <p>1. PLEASE INFORM DETAILS THAT IS NAME AND THE AMOUNT PAID TO THE PARTIES ON ACCONT OF COMPOSITION TAX REFUND.</p> <p>1. A. PLEASE INFORM IN CASE YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO SUPPLY THE INFORMATION, THEN PLEASE INFORM WHO AS KNOWN TO YOU CAN SUPPLY SUCH INFORMATION.</p> <p>1. B. I AM ENCLOSING BLANK INDIAN POSTAL ORDER FOR RS. 10/- ENABLING YOUR DEPARTMENT TO FILL THE CORRECT NAME UNDER WHICH IPO IS REQUIRED WHICH KINDLY DO.</p> <p>1. C. I AM REQUESTING FOR INFORMATION AS IT EXIST AND DO NOT TREAT MY RTI AS ASKING FOR OPINION.</p>
ii] #The period to which the information relates	
iii] \$ description of the information required	
iv] Whether information is required by post or person	BY POST
v] In case by Post [Ordinary, Registered or Speed]	ORDINARY
vi] Purpose for which the	TO PROTECT RIGHT TITLE AND INTEREST

information is required	
-------------------------	--

1. KINDLY REPLY AS PER **TIME BOUND CONDITIONS OF RTI.**
2. **PLEASE DO NOT DIRECT FOR REPLY TO WEBSITE ADDRESS** FOR THE ANSWERS ASKED. KINDLY PROVIDE SPECIFIC RELEVANT REPLY PERTAINING TO MY RTI FOR THE QUESTIONS/CLARIFICATIONS ASKED AND IF THERE ARE ANY CHARGES TO PROVIDE THE SAME, THAT IS FOR PHOTO COPYING ETC, PLEASE LET ME KNOW. I WILL PAY TO GIVE ME ANSWERS.
3. PLEASE MENTION, MY ASKED POINTS AND GIVE INFORMATION AS WHATEVER IS AVAILABLE.
4. KINDLY REPLY IN ENGLISH OR IN HINDI, FOR WHICH I SHALL BE OBLIGED.
5. PLEASE FORWARD MY RTI TO CONCERNED PERSON / DEPARTMENT UNDER COPY TO ME IN CASE THIS INFORMATION REQUESTED IS NOT WITH YOU.



RAMNIRANJAN RAMGOPAL SHARMA

DATE: 30TH SEP, 2021
PLACE: MUMBAI


भारत सरकार
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA


रामनिरंजन रामगोपाल शर्मा
Ramniranjan Ramgopal Sharma
जन्म वर्ष / Year of Birth : 1971
पुरुष / Male



5779 0812 6573

आधार — सामान्य माणसाचा अधिकार

CM's information officer fined Rs 25,000 for delaying RTI reply

Query filed by ex-Central Information Commissioner Shailesh Gandhi

| Yogesh.Naik
@timesgroup.com

TWEETS @yogeshMIRROR

The state information commission has levied a fine of Rs 25,000 on an officer from the Chief Minister's Secretariat for not giving information on time to former central information commissioner **Shailesh Gandhi (in picture)**.

Gandhi had asked for information on number of grievances filed and redressed on the Maharashtra government's online portal, and also for a copy of these on a CD, on November 13, 2015.

The general administration department (GAD) said it did not have the information and sent it to the CM's office, which returned it to GAD on December 4, 2015. On January 2, 2016, GAD transferred this to the Technical Directorate, which in turn appears to have passed on the case. Ultimately, the principal information officer (PIO) in the CM's office, Desk Officer Anagha Sathe, in a letter dated April 2 (posted on May 3), said about 9,000 grievances were filed and most were resolved, Gandhi said.

"To my request for copies of the grievances, the PIO [Sathe] invoked Section 8 (1) (f) of the RTI Act, which exempts 'information received in confidence from foreign government'." Gandhi told *Mirror*. Sathe first sent it back to GAD, and ultimately gave only part information, Gandhi said.

Subsequently, an intrusion into the privacy of complainants was cited as a reason to withhold the information, and on November 17,

2015, GAD transferred the request to the CM's office. On December 4, 2015, the CM's office sent the RTI application back to GAD.

"In a reply dated January 2, GAD said the grievance redressal portal was developed with the cooperation of the Technical Directorate, hence the information sought by me was discussed informally with the concerned officers of the directorate. My original application dated 13/11/2015 was being sent to the Technical Directorate, Mumbai with a request to provide the required information to me," Gandhi said.

In a reply dated June 15, 2016, Sathe sent a response giving some figures, but refused to provide a CD of the grievances, or how they were redressed, claiming exemption under Section 8 (1) (f), Gandhi said.

Finally this year, Gandhi filed a second appeal with the State Information Commission. The commission, unfortunately, upheld the denial of the CD, claiming it could lead to the disclosure of information relating to privacy, and was hence exempt under Section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act. But last week, the commission imposed a penalty of Rs 25,000 on Sathe.

"This indicates that the grievance portal is perhaps just a façade, since nobody seemed to know who handles it. The PIO [Sathe] in the CMO gave part-information and claimed the grievances were given in confidence by a foreign government, meaning they are 'secret' secrets. The reason for not giving detailed information was put down to intrusion on the privacy of the complainants," Gandhi said. "This is a unique, hilarious experience for me in RTI. It was a ping-pong match ending with a penalty."

